Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text. |
| 8D.01 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8D.01 as presented in the NPA, but recommends changes to delete the Guidance statement about 12-foot clearance from flashing light signals and upright gate to the center of the track because it is not consistent with the Standard statement in this section and railroad and transit agencies have varied clearance requirements. |
| Figure 8D-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 8D-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the counterweight swing dimension from a maximum of 4.25 feet to a maximum of 56.5 inches to be consistent with industry practice. NCUTCD also recommends deleting the 25.4-inch dimension because it is not consistent with the Standard Statement in Section 8D.01 that indicates the clearance requirements of the railroad or transit agency shall be used. |
| 8D.02 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8D.02 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the Guidance statement about measurement of the mounting height of the flashing-light units because the measurement should be from the center of the flashing-light unit consistent with Figure 8D-1. |
| 8D.03 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8D.03 as presented in the NPA, but recommends deleting the Guidance statements about the minimum clearance from the tip of the gate arm to the center of the track and the 25.4-inch maximum dimension of the gate arm and support pole hardware because the Standard statement in Section 8D.01 indicates that the requirements of the railroad or transit agency shall be used. NCUTCD also recommends revising the counterweight dimension from 4.25 feet to 56.5 inches to be consistent with industry practice and the recommended changes to Figure 8D-1. |
| 8D.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD recommends revising 8D.04 to add references to the Diagnostic Team because the appropriate traffic control devices at LRT grade crossings are not based only on the LRT speeds and are to be determined by the Diagnostic Team consistent with Section 8A.01. |
| 8D.05 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8D.05 as presented in the NPA, but recommends editorial changes to revise “queue clearance time” to “exit gate clearance time” because this is consistent with AREMA terminology. NCUTCD also recommends deleting the reference to Section 8D.10 for the exit gate clearance timing and replace it with a reference to the AREMA Manual because the timing of the exit gate is described more fully in AREMA. |
| Figure 8D-2 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 8D-2 as presented in the NPA, but recommends deleting the note about an engineering study to determine the median island and replace with a reference to the Diagnostic Team because the median islands should be determined by the Diagnostic Team consistent with Section 8A.01. |
| 8D.06 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.06 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.07 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.07 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.08 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.08 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.09 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.09 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.10 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.10 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.11 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 8D.11 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding a reference to the R3-27 movement prohibition sign to the Option and Support statements as an allowable blank-out sign because this is consistent with Section 2B.26. NCUTCD also recommends an editorial change to correct the spelling of “traveling”. |
| 8D.12 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.12 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.13 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.13 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.14 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.14 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.15 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.15 as presented in the NPA. |
| 8D.16 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 8D.16 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 8D-3 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 8D-3 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |